26

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 6561 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Lure Das Chandane S/o Shri Kahen Ram Chandane, Age about 44 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Tandul, Block – Arang, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)
2. Bhashkar Rao Gwal S/o Late Shri Anand Ram Gwal, Age about 38 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Bhatiya, Block – Arang, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)
3. Basant Rao Pawar S/o Shri Krishna Rao Pawar, Age about 43 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Ghorbhatti, Block – Arang, Dist. – Raipur (C.G.)
4. Mohit Kumar Dewangan, S/o Late Shri Radheshyam Dewangan, Age about 29 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Benidih, Block – Arang, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)
5. Gulab Singh Dhruw S/o Shri Birjhu Ram Dhruw, Age about 41 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Chhatera, Block – Arang, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)
6. Chandrika Prasad Banjare S/o Shri Hirau Ram Banjare, Age about 42 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Bancharoda, Block – Arang, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
7. Ramkumar Dheewar S/o Shri Juglal Dheewar, Age about 44 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Khorsi, Block – Arang, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)
8. Gaya Prasad Tiwari S/o Shri Rameshwar Prasad Tiwari, Age about 50 years, Asstt. Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Bartori, Block – Tilda, Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Through : Secretary, Education & Tribal Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2 District Education Officer, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Pradeep Singh, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(31.10.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have passed D.Ed. examination on their own expenses, therefore, they are entitled to receive two advance increments according to circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999. He also submits that in many identical matters, the petitions have been allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96)***. He submits that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the said judgment.

This legal position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State. However, he submits that the order may be passed in terms of the said judgment, but it shall be subjected to verification regarding petitioners' such qualification.

Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra)* subject to verification of the facts as to whether the petitioners had acquired D.Ed. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not.

The petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

vatti